

Logistics and the Design of a Lunar Harbor

Prepared for Lunar Surface Innovation Consortium April 2024

POC: Kevin.Scholtes@fireflyspace.com

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A (Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited). This research was developed with funding from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). The views, opinions, and/or findings expressed are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

CONCEPT AGGREGATION HUBS

DECOUPLE SURFACE DEMAND FROM LAUNCH UTILIZATION



With lower per-unit commitment costs than a station, aggregations offer an incremental growth solution to meet traffic demand as it develops.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A (Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited). This research was developed with funding from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). The views, opinions, and/or findings expressed are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

Slides prepared by Firefly Aerospace, Inc.

CONCEPT CORE SERVICES

CARGO FORMS THE ANCHOR MARKET FOR ANY HARBOR



As an EML1 aggregation grows it can offer increasingly more valuable services in cargo logistics, tugs, refueling, SSA, power, comms, data, and salvage.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A (Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited). This research was developed with funding from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). The views, opinions, and/or findings expressed are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

Slides prepared by Firefly Aerospace, Inc.

LOGISTICS DEMAND MODELING SCALING THE ADDRESSABLE MARKET FOR THE LUNAR SURFACE



What should a model lunar population look like for a deeper exploration of supply chain assumptions?

CORE ASSUMPTION: The key demand metric is down-mass, (e.g., descent propellant, surface equipment, and maintenance/resupply cargo).

| General Surface Equipment | Mass (kg) | LRUs (QTY) | Scrap Rate (LRU/year) |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|
| Small Ground Equip. (QTY) | 50 | 10 | 0.1 |
| Med Ground Equip. (QTY) | 500 | 100 | 0.1 |
| Large Ground Equip. (QTY) | 5000 | 1000 | 0.1 |

Cargo is normalized and sampled as small, medium, or large demand signals.

| General Lander Definitions | Propellant (kg) | Payload (kg) | Dry Mass (kg) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Small Class Lander | 1000 | 150 | 500 |
| Medium Class Lander | 10000 | 1500 | 5000 |
| Large Class Lander | 100000 | 15000 | 50000 |

Landing is normalized and sampled as small, medium, or large delivery signals as well as propellant demand signals.

| Years Elapsed | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Cargo Received (MT) at the Moon | 6.3 | 13 | 21 | 30 | 53 | 84 | 125 | 202 | 319 | 500 | 796 |
| Cargo Launched (MT) from the Earth | 221 | 321 | 438 | 603 | 951 | 1362 | 1894 | 2900 | 4370 | 6615 | 10274 |

This summation focuses exclusively on lunar down-mass demand and does not account for a lunar up-mass market in this specific context.

CORE ASSUMPTION: A proven market invites additional investments which compound, resulting in geometric growth during the early market phases.

| Generalized Surface Population | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|--------|------|
| Years Elapsed | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 8 | 3 9 | 10 |
| Small Ground Equip. | (QTY) | 5 | 8 | 13 | 20 | 32 | 51 | 80 1 | 27 20 |)2 320 | 508 |
| Med Ground Equip. (QTY) | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 16 2 | 26 4 | 1 64 | 102 |
| Large Ground Equip. (QTY) | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 16 2 | 26 4 | 1 64 | 102 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Years Elapsed | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Equip. Demand (MT) | 6.3 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 22 | 31 | 41 | 77 | 117 | 181 | 295 |
| Prop. Demand (MT) | 44 | 50 | 57 | 65 | 154 | 215 | 282 | 520 | 790 | 1220 | 1980 |



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A (Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited). This research was developed with funding from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). The views, opinions, and/or findings expressed are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

Slides prepared by Firefly Aerospace, Inc.

LOGISTICS INPUT/OUTPUT SCALING

UNDERSTANDING BATCH SIZE WITHIN THE ADDRESSABLE MARKET



| | (por redenous to moot in oughput (por reason) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| Years Elapsed | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | |
| Mega Lift Class | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 23 | |
| Heavy Lift Class | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 26 | 36 | 47 | 86 | 130 | 200 | 326 | |
| Medium Lift Class | 17 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 59 | 82 | 108 | 200 | 303 | 466 | 759 | |

Early market activity lacks the demand to fully manifest larger launch vehicles but will overwhelm medium and heavy launch vehicles as activity grows.

| Years Elapsed | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Small Lander | 43 | 48 | 54 | 61 | 149 | 209 | 276 | 513 | 782 | 1207 | 1970 |
| Medium Lander | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 52 | 79 | 121 | 197 |
| Large Lander | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 20 |

Early market activity lacks the demand to provide responsive shipping with large landers alone but too much demand for smaller landers to realistically support alone.

| Years Elapsed | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|--|
| Landing Sites | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | |

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A (Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited). This research was developed with funding from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). The views, opinions, and/or findings expressed are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

Slides prepared by Firefly Aerospace, Inc.

LOGISTICS PRELIMINARY INSIGHTS

N

As launch vehicles compete to lower the cost-to-orbit, how might that affect lunar industry?



Unsurprisingly, the cost of acquiring Earth-sourced propellant will outcompete lunarsourced propellant initially, especially with reductions in the cost-to-orbit from Earth. With sufficient lunar cargo traffic, a market can however favor lunar-sourced propellant.



The further Earth cost-to-orbit is reduced, the harder it becomes for lunar-sourced propellant to compete. If reduced far enough, the same low launch costs that could accelerate industry on the Moon may also severely limit its development.

NOTE: Due to the layering of assumptions, no values here should be treated as a specific forecast, the relative relationships are more significant. The provided tranches here assume the same time frame as the ten-year logistics model.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A (Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited). This research was developed with funding from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). The views, opinions, and/or findings expressed are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

Slides prepared by Firefly Aerospace, Inc.