DARPA-BAA-16-13 (MOABB) - Frequently Asked Questions

1) **Question:** Is DARPA-BAA-16-13 a follow-on requirement? If so, is there an incumbent contract number for this opportunity? If not, is this a new requirement?
   **Answer:** DARPA-BAA-16-13 is a new requirement.

2) **Questions:** Can the 20 page limit for Volume I, Technical and Management Proposal stated in DARPA-BAA-16-13 be increased for a single proposal that addresses Technical Area 1 and Technical Area 2?
   **Answer:** Please see Amendment 01 to DARPA-BAA-16-13.

3) **Question:** Can a Technical Area 2 performer interact with other Technical Area 1 and Technical Area 2 teams?
   **Answer:** Formalized interactions between teams in the Technical Areas are allowed, as are bundled proposals; however, the Government reserves the right to rearrange teams to best achieve program metrics.

4) **Question:** Will DARPA provide proposers with the names of organizations to facilitate teaming to address specific Technical Areas?
   **Answer:** DARPA encourages formalized interactions between entities, but will not formally organize collaborative efforts.

5) **Question:** Are there limits on foreign components in the proposed system; do they have to be U.S. components only?
   **Answer:** There are no limits on foreign components included in the system design.

6) **Question:** Would a proposal that included application specific packaging be within scope if it also met the metrics stated in the proposal?
   **Answer:** Solutions that operationalize technologies developed in Technical Area 1, and that meet all metrics outlined in DARPA-BAA-16-13, are encouraged.

7) **Question:** Is there an abstract period? If so, what is the deadline for submission?
   **Answer:** There is no abstract period. Abstract submissions related to DARPA-BAA-16-13 will not be considered.

8) **Question:** Would proposals offering innovative and enabling technologies (but not complete solutions) to both Technical Area 1 and Technical Area 2 be considered?
   **Answer:** Incomplete submissions will not be considered. Please refer to the guidelines for each Technical Area addressed in DARPA-BAA-16-13.

9) **Question:** Is the MOABB Proposers Day attendee list available for public release?
   **Answer:** The attendee list is not available to the general public.

10) **Question:** Are the MOABB Proposers Day slides available to the public?

*Updated as of 15 January 2016*
**Answer:** Not all of the materials presented at the MOABB Proposers Day will be made available; only materials presented by DARPA’s Contracts Management Office (CMO) are publicly available via the DARPA homepage [click here].

11) **Question:** Are the cover sheet and official transmittal letter included in the proposal page count of Volume I, Technical and Management Proposal for DARPA-BAA-16-13?  
**Answer:** The cover sheet and transmittal letter are not included in the official page count of Volume I, Technical and Management Proposal for DARPA-BAA-16-13.

12) **Question:** Have any transition partners been identified for this program?  
**Answer:** No specific transition partners have been identified, but there are numerous interested Government parties.

13) **Question:** Is there a minimum power required for Technical Area 1, and a minimum range for technology demonstration for Technical Area 2?  
**Answer:** The minimum power objectives for Technical Area 1, and the minimum range for technology demonstration in Technical Area 2, are outlined in DARPA-BAA-16-13.

14) **Question:** Regarding AIM Photonics, are proposers expected to use a commercial foundry or university fab?  
**Answer:** Proposers are open to use any manufacturing capabilities at their disposal, and that are advantageous to their approaches.

15) **Question:** Are there any side lobe requirements?  
**Answer:** The fraction of optical power in formed beam(s) metric is with regards to the fundamental beam; however, proposers must address how to mitigate the effects of side lobes as stated in DARPA-BAA-16-13.

16) **Question:** Is there a maximum runtime for use of the proposed system?  
**Answer:** The maximum runtime is unspecified.

17) **Question:** What is the desired operation space?  
**Answer:** The operation space is not defined; this should be user defined.

18) **Question:** What is the operational mode of the laser, and how is the point-to-point sweep metric defined?  
**Answer:** The laser must be operated in CW mode, and the point-to-point sweep metric is defined as the time necessary for the CW beam to sweep between any two points in the field of regard as stated in Table 1 of DARPA-BAA-16-13.

19) **Question:** What wavelengths are allowed, and are solutions accepted outside of SWIR?  
**Answer:** Wavelengths of 1.55 \( \mu \text{m} \) are strongly encouraged, but wavelengths between 1 and 2 \( \mu \text{m} \) (though discouraged) are acceptable as long as all other program metrics can be achieved; 3-5 \( \mu \text{m} \) wavelengths are outside the scope of DARPA-BAA-16-13.

20) **Question:** What is included in the volume metric?

*Updated as of 15 January 2016*
Answer: The volume metric includes the photonics and all necessary electronics to control the chip; the power supply not part of the volume metric.

21) Question: Are the transmitter and receiver expected to be on same wafer?
   Answer: The transmitter and receiver can be on separate wafers.

22) Question: Is there a geometry specified for the 100 m path in Technical Area 2, Phase 3?
   Answer: Proposers can specify their own operational geometries and what they want to design to.

23) Question: Is there a velocity metric specified for Technical Area 2?
   Answer: Proposers are open to provide a velocity metric.

24) Question: Would DARPA be open to a proposed solution that satisfies the metrics stated in Table 2, but does not exactly conform to the specifications of the solution assumed in Table 1 based on the nature of implementation?
   Answer: Proposers are highly encouraged to propose solutions that meet the metrics associated with the Technical Area to which they are proposing. Yes, DARPA would be open to a proposed solution for Technical Area 2 that does not explicitly rely on the specification of solutions from Technical Area 1.

25) Question: Is the fill-factor defined for both the X and Y directions?
   Answer: The fill factor metric illustrated in Table 1 of the BAA for Technical Area 1 is defined for the full 2D array. The aperture fill factor is defined in the first footnote associated with Table 1 of DARPA-BAA-16-13.

26) Question: Does the source laser need to be integrated with the array?
   Answer: Co-integrated photonic devices are encouraged but not required, provided that all metrics for Technical Area 1 are met. Additionally, proposers are encouraged to illustrate solutions that show a path to meeting the metrics for Technical Area 2, specifically the volume metric in Table 2 which includes the photonics and all necessary electronics to control the chip.
1) **Question:** Where can MOABB proposals be submitted?  

2) **Question:** Where should the Proposal Summary Slide should be in regards to the order of the proposal, and is it included in the page limit?  
**Answer:** There is no specific order in which the Proposal Summary Slide should be included in a proposal and it is not included in the official page count.

3) **Question:** Is it acceptable to include the Award Administration Information as an Appendix? Would this affect the page limit?  
**Answer:** It is acceptable to include the Award Administration Information as an Appendix. It will not be included in the page limit.

4) **Question:** The BAA specifies that “wafer-scale processing” is highly desirable, and also references heterogeneous integration. Does “wafer-scale processing” require that any integration or bonding process must be performed between entire wafers? Or does it include situations in which one or more dies in bonded to a substrate wafer?  
**Answer:** There is no preferred wafer-scale processing approach; proposers are encouraged to provide unique technical approaches and architectures to meet the performance objectives for all phases of the program, as specified in DARPA-BAA-16-13.

5) **Question:** For proposals that address both Technical Area 1 and Technical Area 2, will the two areas be evaluated separately or as a whole? In other words, does such a proposal need to clearly distinguish in the SOW, costing, and other sections, which parts of the proposal addresses each Technical Area?  
**Answer:** Yes, proposers must clearly identify the Technical Area(s) they are proposing to. Proposals should include well-defined technical approaches, an SOW, key tasks, cost estimates, and schedule to meet all performance objectives for each Technical Area.

6) **Question:** The BAA appears to suggest that the system needs to include integrated amplifiers in each unit cell. With the exception of directed energy applications where the power scalability of distributed light generation is beneficial, for other (ranging, communication) applications the benefit of integrated light generation and amplification is debatable and could be significant liability in terms of yield, power density and cost in comparison to, for example, approaches where the light source is treated as a power supply to a LIDAR system-on-chip. If we propose a system that meets the system specifications without using integrated amplifiers, will our proposal be seriously considered? Or, is development of integrated amplification technology a key goal of the program?  
**Answer:** For Technical Area 1 and depending on the proposed architecture, co-integrated photonic devices may not be necessarily required as long as all performance objectives are met.
7) **Question:** In Table 2 of Technical Area 2, the target weight is 0.01 lb, and target power is 40 W. One can assume that this is for the data processing chip, and not for the packaged system. In addition, 40 W appears to be the power budgeted for the data processing chip alone, since optical power is already 100 W and is presumably excluded as well. What are the specific inputs/outputs for the packaged LIDAR system?

**Answer:** The inputs to the operational LIDAR system will include regulated DC power and direction information, while the outputs will include target range and target velocity. The proposed LIDAR system needs to package all necessary system components and meet all required size, weight, and power consumption objectives listed in Table 2 as stated in DARPA-BAA-16-13. Note that 100 W will NOT be radiated from the Technical Area 2 final demonstrator, this specification is the objective of the Technical Area 1 final demonstrator.

8) **Question:** The BAA states (below Table 1): "Aperture Fill Factor is defined as the total area of the radiating surface divided by the aperture size. Non-radiating devices can be arrayed around the aperture area and not contribute to fill factor." This is very clear in case of a single 1 x 1 mm cell (Phase 1): the radiating surface must be 1 x 1 mm, and the non-radiating devices can be placed outside this 1 x 1 mm radiating surface. However, if this cell is tiled to achieve a larger aperture (1 cm x 1 cm in phase 2 and 10 cm x 10 cm in Phase 3), these non-radiating devices will end up being inside this larger aperture. Do these non-radiating devices contribute to the aperture fill factor? The question can also be formulated in a different way. The objective of Phase 3 is to have a 10 cm x 10 cm aperture with 95% fill factor. Does it mean that 95% of the 10 cm x 10 cm, i.e. 95 cm² area, needs to be radiating?

**Answer:** Yes, the radiating surface in Phase 3 for Technical Area 1 should be 95% of the total aperture area, and proposers also must meet all performance objectives in Table 1 of DARPA-BAA-16-13. Please note that non-radiating devices can be arrayed around the aperture area and not contribute to fill factor.

9) **Question:** In the BAA, it states for Technical Area 2: “Each phase will employ the output optical system from the previous phase of Technical Area 1.” This would indicate that the Technical Area 1 Phase 1 chip will be used in Technical Area 2 Phase 2, and the Technical Area 1 Phase 2 chip will be used in Technical Area 2 Phase 3. However, when will the Technical Area 1 Phase 3 (i.e. 10 cm x 10 cm) chip be employed in Technical Area 2, if ever? If it is not to be employed, do you want a design developed for said chip in Phase 3 of Technical Area 2?

**Answer:** Technical Area 2 will follow the progress of Technical Area 1, although they are allowed to develop alternative technologies capable of meeting the Technical Area 2 objectives. The Phase 3 output of Technical Area 1 will not be incorporated into a LIDAR system within the scope of the MOABB Program.

10) **Question:** Will smaller proposals (seedling-like) be considered? They will be complete and include all the requested sections. We have a couple of very innovative concepts but higher risk and the focus would be on risk reduction and/or proof of concept for the desired end objectives.

**Answer:** DARPA is seeking complete solutions to the technical areas described in DARPA-BAA-16-13. Solutions that do not address the complete technical area to which they are proposing will be
considered incomplete. The appropriate avenue for seedlings and other concepts not specifically addressed by DARPA-BAA-16-13 is through the open-office BAA.

11) **Question:** What is the desired angular resolution for the stated field of regard?
    **Answer:** The angular resolution is unspecified. Proposers are encouraged to provide additional performance metrics of their unique architectures, as long as they meet program metrics and objectives outlined in DARPA-BAA-16-13.

12) **Question:** BAA, Proposal Format, Section I states “I. Cost schedules and measurable milestones for the proposed research…” Normally, this section is written, “Cost, Schedules, and Measurable milestones…” The commas indicate that Schedules (e.g. Gantt Charts) as opposed to just Cost Schedules are part of the section. Can you clarify?
    **Answer:** There typically is a comma between “Cost” and “Schedules.” Proposers should provide a summary (very high-level) of costs, along with the schedule and milestones.

13) **Question:** For the MOABB scan rate of 100Hz, how many interrogation points does this refer to? If it is the entire field of regard, I assume we can’t hit every diffraction limited point, because for the 70 deg x 12 deg Field of Regard (FOR) there are 1e5 points (each diffraction limited spot is 1.55 mrad), so if we hit every one of these points at 100 Hz, that only leaves 0.1 μs per point.
    **Answer:** The number of points will depend on the unique architecture proposed, which must also meet all the performance objectives specified in DARPA-BAA-16-13. It is not intended for the performer to interrogate every diffraction limited angle in the field of regard (for the reasons stated in the question), and it is not anticipated that the performer will form an ideal diffraction-limited beam. It is anticipated that the performer will interrogate the entire field of regard with some combination of non-diffraction limited beams and minimal holes in coverage.